

# SUBSHIFTS AND $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS FROM ONE-COUNTER CODES

WOLFGANG KRIEGER AND KENGO MATSUMOTO

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce a class of subshifts under the name of “standard one-counter shifts”. The standard one-counter shifts are the Markov coded systems of certain Markov codes that belong to the family of one-counter languages. We study topological conjugacy and flow equivalence of standard one-counter shifts. To subshifts there are associated  $C^*$ -algebras by their  $\lambda$ -graph systems. We describe a class of standard one-counter shifts with the property that the  $C^*$ -algebra associated to them is simple, while the  $C^*$ -algebra that is associated to their inverse is not. This gives examples of subshifts that are not flow equivalent to their inverse. For a family of highly structured standard one-counter shifts we compute the K-groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. We use notation like

$$x_{[i,k]} = (x_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}, \quad x \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \leq k,$$

and we denote by  $x_{[i,k]}$  also the word that is carried by the block  $x_{[i,k]}$ . The length of a word  $a$  is denoted by  $\ell(a)$ . On the shift space  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  there acts the shift by

$$x \longrightarrow (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

A closed shift-invariant subset of  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is called a subshift. For an introduction to the theory of subshifts see [10, 13]. A word is called admissible for a subshift if it appears in a point of the subshift. We denote the language of admissible words of a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and set  $\mathcal{L}_n(X) = \{a \in \mathcal{L}(X) \mid \ell(a) = n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . For a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and for  $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- < I_+$ , one has a topological conjugacy

$$x \longrightarrow (x_{[i+I_-, i+I_+]})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad (x \in X)$$

of  $X$  onto the higher block system  $X^{\langle [I_-, I_+] \rangle}$  of  $X$ .

Among the first examples of subshifts are the topological Markov shifts. Using a matrix  $(A(\sigma, \sigma'))_{\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma}$ ,

$$A(\sigma, \sigma') \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma,$$

that has in every row and every column at least one entry that is equal to 1 as a transition matrix one obtains a topological Markov shift  $tM(\Sigma, A)$  by setting

$$tM(\Sigma, A) = \{(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid A(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B10; Secondary 68Q45, 46L80.

*Key words and phrases.* subshifts, Markov codes, Markov coded systems, standard one-counter shifts,  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\lambda$ -graph systems, K-theory, flow equivalence.

This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Reserch (N0. 20540215).

For  $n > 1$  the  $n$ -block system  $(\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}})^{\langle [1, n] \rangle}$  of the shift on  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a topological Markov shift with a transition matrix  $A^{(n)}$  that is given by

$$A^{(n)}(a, a') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_{(1, n]} = a'_{[1, n]}, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_{(1, n]} \neq a'_{[1, n]} \end{cases}, \quad a, a' \in \Sigma^n.$$

A subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is said to be of finite type if there is a finite set  $\mathfrak{F}$  of words in the alphabet  $\Sigma$  such that  $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$  precisely if no word in  $\mathfrak{F}$  appears in  $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . A subshift is topologically conjugate to a subshift of finite type if and only if it is of finite type [10, 13]. We formulate this theorem equivalently as:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a subshift that is topologically conjugate to a topological Markov shift. Then there exists an  $n_\circ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$X^{\langle [1, n] \rangle} = tM(\mathcal{L}_n(X), (A^{(n)}(a, a'))_{a, a' \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)}), \quad n \geq n_\circ.$$

The coded system [2] of a formal language  $\mathcal{C}$  in a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  is the subshift that is obtained as the closure of the set of points in  $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  that carry bi-infinite concatenations of words in  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  can here always be chosen to be a prefix code. The property of being coded is an invariant of topological conjugacy. We denote the coded system of a code  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $sc(\mathcal{C})$ . More generally a Markov code (see [8]) is given by a formal language  $\mathcal{C}$  of words in a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$  together with a finite index set  $\Gamma$  and, mappings  $s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma, t : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma$  and a transition matrix  $(A(\gamma, \gamma'))_{\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma}, A(\gamma, \gamma') \in \{0, 1\}, \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ . From a Markov code  $(\mathcal{C}, s, t)$  one obtains the Markov coded system  $scM(\mathcal{C})$  as the subshift that is the closure of the set of points  $x \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that there are indices  $i_k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i_k < i_{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_{[i_k, i_{k+1})} \in \mathcal{C}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and such that

$$A(t(x_{[i_{k-1}, i_k)}), s(x_{[i_k, i_{k+1})})) = 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

With the alphabet  $\{a_n \mid 1 \leq n \leq N\} \cup \{\alpha_-, \alpha_+\}, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the codes

$$\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)} = \{\alpha_-^k \alpha_+^m a_n \mid 1 \leq n \leq N, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq k\}$$

and with the alphabet  $\{b_n \mid 1 \leq n \leq N\} \cup \{\alpha_-, \alpha_+\}, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the codes

$$\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)} = \{\alpha_-^k \alpha_+^k b_n \mid 1 \leq n \leq N, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The coded systems  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ ,  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  and  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)}) \cup sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  serve us as prototypes for a class of subshifts that we will call standard one-counter shifts. (Compare here [1, Example 1, p. 561], [8, Example II, p. 449], [11, Example 6.1, p. 896]). We arrive at a description of this class of subshifts by observing the behavior of  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ ,  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  and of  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)}) \cup sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  and by abstracting the essential structural properties that these coded systems are to share with the standard one-counter shifts.  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$  and  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)}) \cup sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  are prototypes of what we will call standard one-counter shifts with reset. To every standard one-counter shift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  there is associated a unique Markov code  $\mathcal{C}^{(X)}$  such that  $X = scM(\mathcal{C}^{(X)})$  and such that a version of Theorem 1.1 holds. A formal language is called a one-counter language if it is recognized by a push down automaton with one stack symbol [4, 5, 7]. The Markov code  $\mathcal{C}^{(X)}$  that is associated to a standard one-counter shift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a one-counter language.

Given a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  a word  $v \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is called synchronizing if for  $u, w \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $uv, vw \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  also  $uvw \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . A topologically transitive subshift

is called synchronizing if it has a synchronizing word. Before turning in Section 3 to the standard one-counter codes we introduce in Section 3 auxiliary notions for synchronizing subshifts. We introduce strongly synchronizing subshifts as the subshifts in which synchronizing symbols appear uniformly close to synchronizing words, and we introduce sufficiently synchronizing subshifts as the subshifts that have a strongly synchronizing higher block system.

$\lambda$ -graph systems (as introduced in [15]) are labeled directed graphs that are equipped with a shift like map  $\iota$ . A  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L}$  gives rise to a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ . To a subshift  $X$  there is invariantly associated a future  $\lambda$ -graph system  ${}^X\mathfrak{L}$  that is based on the future equivalences of the pasts in  $X_{(-\infty, 0]}$  (as in [11]) and there is invariantly associated a past  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L}^X$  that is based on the past equivalences of the futures in  $X_{[0, -\infty)}$  (as in [15]). The future and the past  $\lambda$ -graph systems of a subshift are time symmetric to one-another: the future  $\lambda$ -graph system of a subshift is identical to the past  $\lambda$ -graph system of its inverse and vice versa. For a standard one-counter shift  $X$  we will see that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^X}$  is simple if and only if  $X$  has reset and that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^X}$  is not simple. Since the stable isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^X}$  is an invariant of flow equivalence [17], a standard one-counter shift with reset is not flow equivalent to its inverse. For the one-counter shifts  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ ,  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ , we will show that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})}) &\cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_{sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})}) &\cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_{sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})}) \cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

The one-counter code  $\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)}$  is equal to its reversal  $(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})^{rev}$ . The K-groups of the  $C^*$ -algebra have been computed in [12] as

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\mathcal{O}_{sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2, \\ K_1(\mathcal{O}_{sc(\mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

For another computation of K-groups of one-counter shifts see [14, 21].

For a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  we set

$$X_{[i,k]} = \{x_{[i,k]} \mid x \in X\}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \leq k.$$

We set also

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k^+(a) &= \{b \in X_{(n, n+k]} \mid (a, b) \in X_{[m, n+k]}\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \Gamma_\infty^+(a) &= \{x^+ \in X_{(n, \infty)} \mid (a, x^+) \in X_{[m, \infty)}\}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m < n, \quad a \in X_{[m, n]}. \end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma^-$  has the time symmetric meaning.

We recall that, given subshifts  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and a topological conjugacy  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , there is for some  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  a block mapping  $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{X}_{[-L, L]} \rightarrow \Sigma$ , such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}_{[i-L, i+L]}))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}.$$

We set

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{a}) = (\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{a}_{[j-L, j+L]}))_{i+L \leq j \leq k-L}, \quad \tilde{a} \in \tilde{X}_{[i, k]}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k - i > 2L.$$

We use similar notation for words.

## 2. STRONG SYNCHRONIZATION

The first lemma is well known. We include the proof for completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be subshifts and let  $\varphi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a topological conjugacy. Let  $\tilde{L}, L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be such that  $[-\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}]$  is a coding window for  $\varphi$  and  $[-L, L]$  is a coding window for  $\varphi^{-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ ,  $x = \varphi(\tilde{x})$  and  $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- \leq I_+$ . Let  $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$  be synchronizing. Then  $\tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}$  is synchronizing.*

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{y}^- &\in \Gamma_{\infty}^-(\tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}), \\ \tilde{y}^+ &\in \Gamma_{\infty}^+(\tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}),\end{aligned}$$

and let  $y^- \in \Gamma_{\infty}^-(x_{[I_-, I_+]})$ ,  $y^+ \in \Gamma_{\infty}^+(x_{[I_-, I_+]})$ , be given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{y}^-, \tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}) &= (y^-, x_{[I_-, I_]}), \\ \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}, \tilde{y}^+) &= (x_{[I_-, I_+]}, y^+).\end{aligned}$$

One has  $(y^-, x_{[I_-, I_+]}, y^+) \in X$  and

$$\varphi^{-1}(y^-, x_{[I_-, I_+]}, y^+) = (\tilde{y}^-, \tilde{x}_{[I_- - \tilde{L} - L, I_+ + \tilde{L} + L]}, \tilde{y}^+)$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

For a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we denote the set of its synchronizing symbols by  $\Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be subshifts and let a topological conjugacy  $\varphi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be given by a one-block map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Let  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be such that  $\varphi^{-1}$  has coding window  $[-L, L]$  and set  $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}_{[-L, L]}) = \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{x}_0)$ ,  $\tilde{x}_{[-L, L]} \in \tilde{X}_{[-L, L]}$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X)) \subset \Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(\tilde{X}^{([-L, L])}).$$

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We say that a synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is strongly synchronizing if there exists a  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that the following holds: if  $x \in X$  and  $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- < I_+$  are such that  $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$  is synchronizing, then there exists an index  $i$ ,  $I_- - Q \leq i \leq I_+ + Q$  such that  $x_i$  is a synchronizing symbol.

The higher block systems of a strongly synchronizing subshift are also strongly synchronizing. We say that a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is sufficiently synchronizing if it has strongly synchronizing higher block systems.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Sufficient synchronization is an invariant of the topological conjugacy of subshifts.*

*Proof.* To prove the lemma it is by Lemma 2.2 enough to consider the case of subshifts  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and of a topological conjugacy  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  that is given by a one-block map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{synchrono}}(\tilde{X})) \subset \Sigma_{\text{synchrono}}(X)$$

with  $\tilde{X}$  strongly synchronizing and to show that  $X$  is strongly synchronizing. Let  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be such that  $\varphi^{-1}$  has the coding window  $[-L, L]$  and let  $\tilde{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be such that for  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{I}_-, \tilde{I}_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{I}_- < \tilde{I}_+$  such that  $\tilde{x}_{[\tilde{I}_-, \tilde{I}_+]}$  is synchronizing, one

has an  $\tilde{i}$ ,  $\tilde{I}_- - Q \leq \tilde{i} \leq \tilde{I}_+ + Q$  such that  $\tilde{x}_{\tilde{i}}$  is a synchronizing symbol. Then one has for  $x \in X$ ,  $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- < I_+$  such that  $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$  is synchronizing, by Lemma 2.1 that  $\varphi(x)_{[I_-, I_+]}$  is synchronizing. It follows that there exists an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- - L - \tilde{Q} \leq i \leq I_+ + L + \tilde{Q}$  such that  $\Phi(x_i)$  is a synchronizing. By (2.1), then  $x_i$  is synchronizing.  $\square$

### 3. STANDARD ONE-COUNTER SHIFTS

#### 3 a. The structure of standard one-counter shifts

Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a topologically transitive subshift. We call a pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points of  $X$  a characteristic pair, if it is the unique pair of fixed points that satisfies the following conditions (a), (b) and (c<sup>-</sup>), and a condition (c<sup>+</sup>) that is symmetric to condition (c<sup>-</sup>):

(a)  $X$  has a unique orbit  $O_X$  that contains all points that are left asymptotic to  $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and right asymptotic to  $(\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , and that do not contain a synchronizing word.

(b)  $X$  has a point that is left asymptotic to  $(\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and right asymptotic to  $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and that contains a synchronizing word.

(c<sup>-</sup>) There exists a  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following holds: If  $x \in X$  and  $I_-, I_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I_- \leq I_+$ , are such that  $x$  is right asymptotic to  $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , and  $x_{[I_-, I_+]}$  is synchronizing, and  $x_{(I_+, I_+ + k]}$ , is not synchronizing,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists an index  $i$ ,  $I_- < i \leq I_+ + K$ , such that  $x_j = \alpha_-$ ,  $j \geq i$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a topologically transitive subshift with a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points, and let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be a topological conjugacy of a subshift  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  onto  $X$ . Then  $(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}), \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}))$  is a characteristic pair of fixed points of  $\tilde{X}$ .*

*Proof.* Conditions (a), (b), (c<sup>-</sup>), (c<sup>+</sup>), being satisfied by  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ , the proposition follows by means of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We introduce notation that we use for a synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , that has a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points. For  $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronro}}(X)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$  the set of words  $d^- \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , that do not contain a synchronizing symbol, and that do not end with  $\alpha_-$ , such that

$$\sigma_- d^- \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma^-(\alpha_-^k),$$

and for  $\sigma_+^+ \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronro}}(X)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_+^+, \alpha_+)$  the set of words  $d_+^- \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , that do not contain a synchronizing symbol, and that do not begin with  $\alpha_+$ , such that

$$\sigma_+^+ d_+^- \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma^-(\alpha_+^k).$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_-(X) &= \{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronro}}(X) \mid \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \Sigma_+^+(X) &= \{\sigma_+^+ \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronro}}(X) \mid \mathcal{D}(\sigma_+^+, \alpha_+) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+, )$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\alpha_-, \sigma_+^-, )$  and  $\Sigma_+(X)$ ,  $\Sigma_+^-(X)$  have the symmetric meaning.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For a strongly synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  that has a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points, the sets  $\Sigma_-(X)$  and  $\Sigma_+(X)$  are not empty and the sets  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ ,  $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+)$ ,  $\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X)$  are finite.*

*Proof.* We show that  $\Sigma_-(X)$  is not empty, and that the sets  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ ,  $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X)$  are finite. By condition (b) there exists an  $x \in X$  that contains a synchronizing word and that is right asymptotic to  $(\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . The assumption that  $X$  is strongly synchronizing implies that  $x$  contains a synchronizing symbol. Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $x_i \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronizing}}(X)$ ,  $x_{i+K} \notin \Sigma_{\text{synchronizing}}(X)$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ . If here  $x_{i+K} = \alpha_-$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the empty word is in  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$  where  $\sigma_- = x_i$ . Otherwise let  $j > i$  be given by  $x_j \neq \alpha_-$ ,  $x_{j+K} = \alpha_-$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and have  $x_{[i,j]} \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ . The finiteness of  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ ,  $\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X)$  follows from condition (c<sup>-</sup>).  $\square$

Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a subshift with a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points. Let  $x \in O_X$ . If for some  $i_o \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \alpha_-, & i &\leq i_o, \\ x_i &= \alpha_+, & i &> i_o \end{aligned}$$

then set  $c_X$  equal to the empty word. Otherwise determine  $i_-, i_+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i_- < i_+$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \alpha_-, & i &< i_-, \\ x_{i_-} &\neq \alpha_-, \\ x_{i_+} &\neq \alpha_+, \\ x_i &= \alpha_+, & i &> i_+, \end{aligned}$$

and set  $c_X$  equal to the word  $x_{[i_-, i_+]}$ .

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^+(X) &= \{d^+\sigma_+ \mid \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+)\}, \\ \Omega^-(X) &= \{d^+\sigma_+ \mid \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_-, \sigma_+)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(X)$  the set of  $d^+\sigma_+ \in \Omega^+(X)$  such that there is a  $D \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+ + D} d^+\sigma_+ \in \mathcal{L}(X), \quad k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N},$$

the smallest such  $D$  to be denoted by  $D(d^+\sigma_+)$ . We say that  $X$  has reset if  $\Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(X) \neq \emptyset$ . We set

$$\Omega_{\text{counter}}^+(X) = \Omega^+(X) \setminus \Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(X).$$

We set

$$\Omega_{\text{reset}}^-(X) = \{c_X \alpha_+^{k_+ + D(d^+\sigma_+)} d^+\sigma_+ \mid d^+\sigma_+ \in \Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(X), k_+ \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and we say that  $X$  satisfies the reset condition if  $\Omega^-(X) \setminus \Omega_{\text{reset}}^-(X)$  is a finite set.

For a strongly synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  that has a synchronizing symbol denote by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the set of admissible words of  $X$  that begin with a synchronizing symbol, that have no other synchronizing symbol and that can be followed by a synchronizing symbol. For  $c \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  set  $t(c)$  equal to the set of synchronizing symbols that can follow  $c$  and set  $s(c)$  equal to the singleton set that contains the first symbol of  $c$ . With the set of subsets of  $\Sigma$  as index set and with a transition matrix  $A$  whose positive entries are given by

$$A(\Sigma_o, \{\sigma\}) = 1, \quad \Sigma_o \in \{\{t(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}(X)\}\}, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_o, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_{\text{synchronizing}}(X),$$

$\mathcal{C}(X)$  is a Markov code and

$$X = scM(\mathcal{C}(X)).$$

Given a strongly synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points such that  $\Sigma_-^+(X) = \emptyset$ , that satisfies the reset condition, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)}(\sigma_+^-) = \{\sigma_- d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} d_-^+ \mid \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \\ d_-^+ \sigma_+^- \in \Omega^-(X) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(X), k_- \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \sigma_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(X),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)} = \bigcup_{\sigma_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(X)} \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)}(\sigma_+^-),$$

$$(3.3) \quad t(c) = \{\sigma_+^- \in \Sigma_+^-(X) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)}(\sigma_+^-)\}, \quad c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)},$$

and, given  $M_-, M_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_- d_- &\longrightarrow D_-(\sigma_- d_-) \in \mathbb{Z}_+, & \sigma_- &\in \Sigma_-(X), d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \\ d_+^+ \sigma_+ &\longrightarrow D_+(d_+^+ \sigma_+) \in \mathbb{Z}_+, & d_+^+ \sigma_+ &\in \Omega_{reset}^+(X), \end{aligned}$$

we set

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+) \\ = \{\sigma_- d_- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+ + D(d_+^+ \sigma_+)} d_+^+ \mid \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), d_+^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega_{reset}^+(X), \\ k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}, D_-(\sigma_- d_-) + k_- + M_- \geq M_+ + k_+ + D_+(d_+^+ \sigma_+)\}, \quad \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+) = \bigcup_{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X)} \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+),$$

$$(3.6) \quad t(c) = \{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+)\}, \\ c \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+).$$

and given  $J_-, J_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_- d_- &\longrightarrow \Delta_-(\sigma_- d_-) \in \mathbb{Z}_+, & \sigma_- &\in \Sigma_-(X), d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \\ d_+^+ \sigma_+ &\longrightarrow \Delta_+(d_+^+ \sigma_+) \in \mathbb{Z}_+, & d_+^+ \sigma_+ &\in \Omega_{counter}^+(X), \end{aligned}$$

we set

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+; \sigma^+) \\ = \{\sigma_- d_- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d_+^+ \mid \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d_- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-), \\ d_+^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega_{counter}^+(X), k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (\Delta_-(\sigma_- d_-) + k_- + J_-) \cap (J_+ + k_+ + \Delta_+(d_+^+ \sigma_+)) \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X)$$

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+) = \bigcup_{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X)} \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+; \sigma_+),$$

$$(3.9) \quad t(c) = \{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+, \sigma_+)\}, \\ c \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+).$$

By (3.1-9) there is defined a Markov code

$$\mathcal{C}_-^{(X)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+) \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+).$$

We define a standard one-counter shift as a strongly synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  that has a characteristic pair of fixed points, such that  $\Sigma_-^+(X)$  is empty, such that  $X$  satisfies the reset condition, and such that there exist  $I \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and parameters  $D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+, \Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{c \in \mathcal{C}(X) \mid \ell(c) > I\} \\ &= \{c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+) \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+) \mid \ell(c) > I\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality is understood as an equality of Markov codes. If (3.10) holds then we say that  $I, D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+, \Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+$  are parameters of the standard one-counter shift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The parameters  $\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+$  can be missing, and in the case that  $X$  has no reset the parameters  $D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+$  are missing.

For a standard one-counter shift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  denote the smallest  $I \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that (3.10) holds by  $I_X$ , and denote by  $D_-(X), M_-(X), M_+(X), D_+(X), \Delta_-(X), J_-(X), J_+(X), \Delta_+(X)$  the uniquely determined parameters for  $X$  that satisfy the normalization conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \min(M_-, M_+) &= \min(J_-, J_+) = \min_{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, d_-)} D(\sigma_- d^-) \\ &= \min_{d^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega^+(X)} D(d^+ \sigma_+) = \min_{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)} \Delta_-(\sigma_- d^-) \\ &= \min_{\{\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_+(X), d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+) \mid d^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega_{counter}^+(X)\}} \Delta_+(d^+ \sigma_+) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

E. g. for  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(N)})$  the normalized parameters are given by  $I = M_- = M_+ = J_- = J_+ = 0$ , the range of  $D_-$  and  $D_+$  being  $\{0\}$ , and the range of  $\Delta_-$  and  $\Delta_+$  being  $\{0\}$ . We associate with a standard one-counter shift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  the Markov code

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{(X)} &= \{c \in \mathcal{C}^{(X)} \mid \ell(c) \leq I_X\} \\ &\cup \{c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)} \cup \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-(X), M_-(X), M_+(X), D_+(X)) \\ &\quad \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-(X), J_-(X), J_+(X), \Delta_+(X)) \mid \ell(c) > I_X\}, \end{aligned}$$

and find that  $X$  has a distinguished presentation as the Markov coded system of  $\mathcal{C}^{(X)}$ .

There is a development that can be considered, at least partially, as the converse. One takes as a starting point a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a proper subset  $\Sigma_{synchro}$  of  $\Sigma$  and symbols  $\alpha_-, \alpha_+ \notin \Sigma$ . One also has to provide for some  $I \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  a Markov code all of whose words begin with a symbol in  $\Sigma_{synchro}$ , with the remaining symbols in  $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_{synchro}$  and that have length less than or equal to  $I$ , and one has to provide the other components,  $\Sigma_-, \Sigma_+, D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+, \Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+$  that are needed for the construction of a Markov code  $\mathcal{C}$  according to rules that imitate the content of (3.1-9). An additional requirement is that the symbols in  $\Sigma_{synchro}$  are the only synchronizing symbols in  $scM(\mathcal{C})$  for which there is a test. One arrives in this way at a standard one-counter shift  $scM(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(scM(\mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{C}$ , and one observes a perfect reciprocity between a class of Markov codes and the class of standard one-counter shifts.

### 3 b. Behavior under topological conjugacy

In this subsection we assume that we are given a strongly synchronizing subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  with a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points and a subshift



$X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  together with a topological conjugacy  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$  that is given by a one-block map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \Sigma$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\text{synchronro}}(X)) \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{synchronro}}(\tilde{X}).$$

We introduce notation that we use in this situation. We set  $(\tilde{\alpha}_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ ,  $(\tilde{\alpha}_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}((\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$ .  $[-L, L]$  will denote a coding window of  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  will be a block map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{L}_{2L+1}(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$  that gives  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$ .  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  will be chosen such that for a synchronizing word  $a$  of  $X$  and for  $a^- \in \Gamma_Q^-(a)$ ,  $a^+ \in \Gamma_Q^+(a)$  the word  $a^-aa^+$  contains a synchronizing symbol.

For  $\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X})$ ,  $\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$ , we can set by Lemma 2.1 and by (3.11)

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) = b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-),$$

where the words  $b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  and  $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  and the symbol  $\sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  are uniquely determined by  $\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-$  under the condition that  $\sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  is synchronizing and that  $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  does not contain a synchronizing symbol. We set

$$I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) = \ell(a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)).$$

Denoting by  $d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)$  the longest prefix of  $a^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{d}^-) \alpha_-$  that is in  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)$ , we have a mapping

$$\Psi_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-} : \tilde{d}^- \longrightarrow d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-), \quad \tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-).$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}(\alpha_-)$  the set of  $d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \alpha_-)$  such that the prefix of length  $Q + L + 1$  of the word  $b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{Q+L}$  is equal to  $\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)$  and such that the prefix of length  $Q + 2L + 1$  of the word  $\Phi(b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{Q+2L})$  is a suffix of  $\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-$ . We use corresponding symbols with a time symmetric meaning.

We define  $H_-, H_+ \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  by

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(c_{\tilde{X}}) = \alpha_-^{H_-} c_X \alpha_+^{H_+}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X})$ ,  $\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$ , the mapping  $\Psi_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}$  is a bijection of  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}(\alpha_-)$ .*

*Proof.* By construction

$$\Psi_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}(\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-)) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}(\alpha_-),$$

and one confirms that the inverse of  $\Psi_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}$  is given by the mapping that assigns to a  $d^- \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-}(\alpha_-)$  the word that is obtained by removing the prefix of length  $Q + 1$  from the longest prefix of the word  $\Phi(b^- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) \sigma_- (\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{2L+1})$  that does not end in  $\tilde{\alpha}_-$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Sigma_-^+(X) = \emptyset$ . Then also  $\tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X}) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If there were a  $\tilde{\sigma}_+^+ \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+^+(\tilde{X})$  and a  $\tilde{d}_+^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_+^+, \tilde{\alpha}_+)$ , then one would have for a  $\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+^+)$  that

$$\Psi_{\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_+^+}(\tilde{d}_+^+) \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_+^+(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_+^+), \alpha_+).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(\tilde{X})$ ,  $\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)$ , one has*

$$d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega_{\text{reset}}^+(X).$$

*Proof.* One has

$$D_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)) \leq D_+(\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+) + I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)).$$

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \Omega^-(\tilde{X})$ ,  $\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)$ , and let*

$$(3.13) \quad d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega_{reset}^+(X)$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad k_+ > 2L$$

be such that

$$(3.15) \quad d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-\tilde{b}^+) = c_X\alpha_+^{k_++D_+(d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+))}d^+.$$

Then

$$\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^- \in \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}).$$

*Proof.* By (3.13)

$$\alpha_-^{L+l_-+H_-}c_X\alpha_+^{l_++k_++D_+(d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+))}d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \mathcal{L}(X), \quad l_-, l_+ \in \mathbb{N},$$

and by (3.14) and (3.15) there is a  $\tilde{k}_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the word

$$\Phi(\alpha_-^{L+l_-+H_-}c_X\alpha_+^{l_++k_++D_+(d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+))}d^+\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+))$$

contains the word

$$\tilde{\alpha}_-^{l_-}\tilde{c}_X\tilde{\alpha}_+^{l_++\tilde{k}_+}\tilde{d}_-^+\tilde{\sigma}_+^-$$

as a subword for  $l_-, l_+ \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

**Lemma 3.7.** *For  $\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega^+(\tilde{X})$ ,  $\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)$ , one has*

$$\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{reset}^+(\tilde{X})$$

if and only if

$$d^+(\tilde{d}^+\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega_{reset}^+(X).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6. □

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $X$  satisfy the reset condition. Then  $\tilde{X}$  also satisfies the reset condition.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.6 that there is a bound on the length of the words in  $\Omega^-(\tilde{X}) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X})$ . □

We note that the converse of Lemma 3.8 also holds.

**Proposition 3.9.**  *$\tilde{X}$  has reset if and only if  $X$  has reset.*

*Proof.* Let  $d^+\sigma_+ \in \Omega^+(X)$ . To obtain  $\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Sigma_+(\tilde{X})$  and  $\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)$  such that  $d^+\sigma_+ \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+}(\alpha_+)$ , let  $a^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\sigma_+)$  and let  $\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+$  equal to the first subword of length  $Q + L + 1$  of

$$\Phi(\alpha_+^{2L+1}d^+\sigma_+a^+)$$

that begins with a synchronizing symbol. Apply Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7. □

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a standard one-counter shift. Then  $\tilde{X}$  is also a standard one-counter shift.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4  $\Sigma_-^+(\tilde{X})$  is empty and by Lemma 3.8  $\tilde{X}$  satisfies the reset condition. Let  $I, D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+, \Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+$  be parameters for  $X$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I} &> I + 2Q + 6L + M_- + M_+ + J_- + J_+ + \ell(C_X) \\
 &+ 2 \max\{\ell(\sigma_- d^-) \mid \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)\} \\
 &+ 2 \max\{\ell(d^+ \sigma_+) \mid d^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega^+(X)\} \\
 &+ \max\{\ell(d^+ \sigma_+) \mid d^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega^-(X) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(X)\} \\
 &+ \max \cup_{\sigma_- \in \Sigma_-(X), d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-)} \Delta_-(\sigma_- d^-) \\
 &+ \max \cup_{d^+ \sigma_+ \in \Omega_{counter}^+(X)} \Delta_+(d^+ \sigma_+),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

$$\tilde{M}_- = M_- + H_-, \quad \tilde{M}_+ = M_+ + H_+, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\tilde{J}_- = J_- + H_-, \quad \tilde{J}_+ = J_+ + H_+, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{D}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-) &= \max_{\tilde{b}^- \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{Q+2L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)} \{D_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-)) \\
 &\quad - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}_-) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-)\}, \\
 &\quad \tilde{\sigma}_- \in \Sigma_-(\tilde{X}), \tilde{d}_- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{D}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+) &= \min_{\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)} \{I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) \\
 &\quad + D_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+))\}, \\
 &\quad \tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{reset}^+(\tilde{X}),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\Delta}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-) &= \bigcup_{\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-)} \Delta_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}_-) \\
 &\quad - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}_-)) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_-(\tilde{X}), \tilde{d}_- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\Delta}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+) &= \bigcup_{\tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)} I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) \\
 &\quad + \Delta_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)), \\
 &\quad \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{d}^+ \in \Omega_{counter}^+(\tilde{X}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.22}$$

We prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\{\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{X}) \mid \ell(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{I}\} \\
 &\subset \mathcal{C}_-^{(\tilde{X})} \cup \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{D}_-, \tilde{M}_-, \tilde{M}_+, \tilde{D}_+) \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}_-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_+).
 \end{aligned}$$

Given a word  $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}(\tilde{X})$  of length  $\tilde{I}$ , let  $\tilde{\sigma}_-$  be the first symbol of  $\tilde{c}$  and let  $\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in t(\tilde{c})$ . Also let

$$\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-), \quad \tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{L+Q}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and let a word  $c \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{c} \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) = b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+).$$

By (3.16)

$$c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)}(\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)) \cup \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)) \\ \cup \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+; \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)).$$

In the case that

$$(3.23) \quad c \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(X)}(\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)),$$

one has  $\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \Sigma_+^-(X)$ , and there are

$$d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-), \alpha_-), \quad d_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_-, \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)),$$

and  $k_- \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d_-^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega^-(X) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(X), \quad c = \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} d_-^+.$$

By (3.16)  $k_- > 2L$ , and it is seen from the action of  $\Phi$  that one has, setting

$$\tilde{d}^- = \Psi_{\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-}^{-1}(d^-), \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ = \Psi_{\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+}^{-1}(d_-^+),$$

and

$$(3.24) \quad \tilde{k}_- = k_- - I_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-) + \ell(d^-) - \ell(\tilde{d}^-) - H_-,$$

that

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\tilde{k}_-} \tilde{d}_-^+.$$

Here

$$\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega^-(\tilde{X}) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}),$$

for otherwise one would have by Lemma 3.5 a contradiction to (3.23). This means that

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\sigma}_+).$$

In the case that

$$c \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)),$$

there are

$$d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-), \alpha_-), \quad d_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)),$$

and  $k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega_{reset}^+(X), \quad c = \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+,$$

$$(3.25) \quad D_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-) + k_- + M_- \geq M_+ + k_+ + D_+(d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+)).$$

Set again

$$(3.26) \quad \tilde{d}^- = \Psi_{\tilde{b}^-\tilde{\sigma}_-}^{-1}(d^-),$$

and also set

$$(3.27) \quad \tilde{d}^+ = \Psi_{\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+}^{-1}(d^+), \\ \tilde{d}_-^+ = \Psi_{\tilde{\sigma}_+\tilde{b}^+}^{-1}(c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+).$$

If here

$$(3.28) \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega^-(\tilde{X}) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}),$$

then by Lemma 3.6  $k_+ \leq 2L$ , and then by (3.16)  $k_- > 2L$ , and it is seen from the action of  $\Phi$  that, with  $\tilde{k}_-$  given by the expression (3.24),

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\tilde{k}_-} \tilde{d}_+^+.$$

By (3.28) this means that

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\sigma}_+).$$

If here

$$\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}),$$

one has by (3.16) and (3.25) that  $k_- > 2L$  and it is seen from the action of  $\Phi$  that, with  $\tilde{k}_-$  given by the expression (3.24), and with

$$(3.29) \quad \tilde{k}_+ = k_+ - H_- - \ell(\tilde{d}^+) + \ell(d^+) - I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+),$$

that one has then

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\tilde{k}_-} c_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\alpha}_+^{\tilde{k}_+} \tilde{d}_+^+.$$

By (3.25), (3.19) and (3.20)

$$\tilde{D}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) + \tilde{k}_- + \tilde{M}_- \geq \tilde{M}_+ + \tilde{k}_+ + \tilde{D}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and this means that

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{D}_-, \tilde{M}_-, \tilde{M}_+, \tilde{D}_+; \tilde{\sigma}_+).$$

In case that

$$c \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\Delta_-, J_-, J_+, \Delta_+; \sigma_+),$$

there are

$$d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \alpha_-), \quad d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)),$$

and

$$D_- \in \Delta_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-), \quad D_+ \in \Delta_+(d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)),$$

and  $k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) &\in \Omega_{counter}^+(X), \\ c &= \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^- \alpha_-^{\tilde{k}_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.31) \quad D_- + k_- + J_- = J_+ + k_+ + D_+.$$

By (3.16) and (3.31)  $k_-, k_+ > 2L$ , and with  $\tilde{d}^-, \tilde{d}^+, \tilde{k}_-, \tilde{k}_+$  given by the expressions (3.26), (3.27), (3.24), (3.29) and with

$$(3.32) \quad \tilde{D}_- = D_- + \ell(\tilde{d}^-) - \ell(d^-) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-),$$

$$(3.33) \quad \tilde{D}_+ = I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+) + D_+,$$

it is seen from the action of  $\Phi$  that

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\tilde{k}_-} c_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\alpha}_+^{\tilde{k}_+} \tilde{d}_+^+.$$

By (3.32) and (3.33)

$$\tilde{D}_- + \tilde{k}_- + \tilde{J}_- = \tilde{J}_+ + \tilde{k}_+ + \tilde{D}_+,$$

and by Lemma 3.7 and by (3.30) this means that

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}_-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_+; \tilde{\sigma}_+).$$

We prove that

$$(3.34) \quad \{\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(\tilde{X})} \mid \ell(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{I}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{X}).$$

For  $\tilde{\sigma}_- \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+^-(\tilde{X})$ , and for a word  $\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_-^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\sigma}_-)$  of length  $\tilde{I}$ , with the first symbol  $\tilde{\sigma}_-$ , there are

$$\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-), \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and  $\tilde{k}_- \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_- &\in \Omega^-(\tilde{X}) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}), \\ \tilde{c} &= \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{\tilde{k}_-} \tilde{d}_-^+. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-), \quad \tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and let a word  $c \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  in the symbols of  $\Sigma$  be given by

$$(3.36) \quad \Phi(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{c} \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) = b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+).$$

From (3.36) it is seen that there is a  $k_- \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$c = \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) \alpha_-^{k_-} d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+).$$

If here

$$d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega^-(X) \setminus \Omega_{reset}^-(X),$$

then by (3.16),  $k_- > 2L$  and if here

$$d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \in \Omega_{reset}^-(X),$$

then there are  $d^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha_+, \sigma_+^-(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+))$  and  $k_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d^+ \sigma_+^- \in \Omega_{reset}^+(X), \quad d_-^+(\tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) = c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+.$$

By Lemma 3.6 and by (3.35)  $k_+ \leq 2L$ , and then by (3.16)  $k_- > 2L$ , and also

$$D_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-) + k_- + M_- \geq M_+ + k_+ + D_+(d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)),$$

and therefore

$$cc_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+; \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)).$$

By (3.16) then

$$cc_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \in \mathcal{C}(X),$$

and it is seen from the action of  $\Phi$  that the word  $\tilde{c}$  is a subword of the word

$$\Phi(b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) cc_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+ \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) \in \mathcal{L}(X),$$

and (3.34) is confirmed.

We prove that

$$(3.37) \quad \{\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{D}_-, \tilde{M}_-, \tilde{M}_+, \tilde{D}_+) \mid \ell(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{I}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{X}).$$

For  $\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+(\tilde{X})$  and for a word

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{D}_-, \tilde{M}_-, \tilde{M}_+, \tilde{D}_+; \tilde{\sigma}_+)$$

of length  $\tilde{I}$  with the first symbol  $\tilde{\sigma}_-$  there are

$$\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-), \quad \tilde{d}^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and  $k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.38) \quad \tilde{d}_-^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{reset}^-(\tilde{X}),$$

$$(3.39) \quad \tilde{D}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) + \tilde{k}_- + \tilde{M}_- \geq \tilde{M}_+ + \tilde{k}_+ + \tilde{D}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^- \tilde{\alpha}_-^{k_-} c_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\alpha}_+^{k_+} \tilde{d}^+.$$

By (3.12), (3.17), (3.19) and (3.20) one can select

$$\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-), \quad \tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) &= D_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^-) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \\ \tilde{D}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+) &= I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) + D_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)), \end{aligned}$$

and such that one has with

$$(3.40) \quad k_- = I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^-) + \tilde{k}_- + H_-,$$

$$(3.41) \quad k_+ = H_+ + k_+ + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) + I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+),$$

that

$$(3.42) \quad D_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + k_- + M_- \geq M_+ + k_+ + D_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)).$$

By (3.38) and Lemma 3.7 and by (3.42) it follows for the word  $c$  in the symbols of  $\Sigma$  that is given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{c} \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) = b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+),$$

that

$$c = \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \in \mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(X)}(\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)).$$

By (3.16) then  $c \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . By (3.16) and (3.42)  $k_-, k_+ > 2L$  and from the action of  $\tilde{\Phi}$  it is seen that the word  $\tilde{c}$  is a subword of the word

$$\Phi(b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) \in \mathcal{L}(X),$$

and (3.37) is confirmed.

We prove that

$$(3.43) \quad \{\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}_-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_+) \mid \ell(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{I}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{X}).$$

For  $\tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \tilde{\Sigma}_+(\tilde{X})$  and a word

$$\tilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(\tilde{X})}(\tilde{\Delta}_-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_+; \tilde{\sigma}_+)$$

of length  $\tilde{I}$  with a first symbol  $\tilde{\sigma}_-$  there are

$$\tilde{d}^- \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\sigma}_-, \tilde{\alpha}_-), \quad \tilde{d}^+ \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\alpha}_+, \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and

$$\tilde{D}^- \in \tilde{\Delta}_-(\tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-), \quad \tilde{D}^+ \in \tilde{\Delta}_+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

and  $\tilde{k}_-, \tilde{k}_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.44) \quad \tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \in \Omega_{counter}^-(\tilde{X}),$$

$$(3.45) \quad \tilde{D}_- + \tilde{k}_- + \tilde{J}_- = \tilde{J}_+ + \tilde{k}_+ + \tilde{D}_+.$$

By (3.12), (3.18), (3.21) and (3.22) one can select

$$\tilde{b}^- \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^-(\tilde{\sigma}_-), \quad \tilde{b}^+ \in \Gamma_{Q+L}^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+),$$

such that there are

$$\begin{aligned} D_- &\in \Delta_-(\sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)), \\ D_+ &\in \Delta_+(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)), \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_- &= D_- - \ell(d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-)) + \ell(\tilde{d}^-) + I_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-), \\ \tilde{D}_+ &= I_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) + \ell(\tilde{d}^+) - \ell(d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) + D_+. \end{aligned}$$

With  $k_-, k_+ \in \mathbb{N}$  given by the expressions (3.40) and (3.41) then

$$(3.46) \quad D_- + k_- + J_- = J_+ + k_+ + D_+.$$

By (3.44) and Lemma 3.7 and by (3.45) it follows for the word  $c$  in the symbols of  $\Sigma$  that is given by

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{c} \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) = b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+),$$

that

$$c = \sigma_-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) d^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_- \tilde{d}^-) \alpha_-^{k_-} c_X \alpha_+^{k_+} d^+(\tilde{d}^+ \tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) \in \mathcal{C}_{counter}^{(X)}(\sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)).$$

By (3.16) then  $c \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . By (3.16) and (3.46)  $k_-, k_+ > 2L$  and from the action of  $\Phi$  it is seen that the word  $\tilde{c}$  is a subword of the word

$$\Phi(b^-(\tilde{b}^- \tilde{\sigma}_-) c \sigma_+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+) b^+(\tilde{\sigma}_+ \tilde{b}^+)) \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}),$$

and (3.43) is confirmed.

We have shown that  $\tilde{I}, \tilde{D}_-, \tilde{M}_-, \tilde{M}_+, \tilde{D}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_-, \tilde{J}_-, \tilde{J}_+, \tilde{\Delta}_+$  are parameters for  $\tilde{X}$ .  $\square$

### 3 c. Shifts of standard one-counter type

One has a theorem that can be viewed as analogous to Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a subshift that is topologically conjugate to a standard one-counter shift. Then there exists an  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X^{\langle [1, n] \rangle}$  is a standard one-counter shift,*

$$X^{\langle [1, n] \rangle} = scM(\mathcal{C}^{(X^{\langle [1, n] \rangle})}), \quad n \geq n_o.$$

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.10.  $\square$

One can view the class of standard one-counter shifts as extending the class of topological Markov shifts and one is then lead to introduce a class of subshifts of standard one-counter type as the class of subshifts that have a higher block system that is a standard one-counter shift. Theorem 3.11 is then equivalent to the statement that a subshift that is topologically conjugate to a subshift of standard one-counter type is itself of standard one-counter type.



4.  $\lambda$ -GRAPH SYSTEMS AND  $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Consider a  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$  over the alphabet  $\Sigma$  with vertex set  $V = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l$ , edge set  $E = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l,l+1}$ , labeling map  $\lambda : E \rightarrow \Sigma$  and shift-like map  $\iota$  that is given by surjective maps  $\iota_{l,l+1} : V_{l+1} \rightarrow V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . A subset  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $V$  is called hereditary if all  $v \in V$  such that  $\iota(v) \in \mathcal{V}$  are in  $\mathcal{V}$ , and if  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  then all initial vertices of all edges that have  $v$  as a final vertex are also in  $\mathcal{V}$ . A hereditary subset  $\mathcal{V}$  is said to be proper if  $\mathcal{V} \cap V_l \neq V_l$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us denote by  $\{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$  the vertex set  $V_l$  at level  $l$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l+1), \alpha \in \Sigma$ , we put

$$A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s(e) = v_i^l, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = v_j^{l+1} \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{L}$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by partial isometries  $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$  and projections  $E_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  subject to the following operator relations called  $(\mathfrak{L})$ :

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} E_i^l = 1, \quad E_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i, j) E_j^{l+1},$$

$$S_\alpha S_\alpha^* E_i^l = E_i^l S_\alpha S_\alpha^*,$$

$$S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) E_j^{l+1},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \alpha \in \Sigma$  [18].

For a subshift  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  we recall the construction of its future  $\lambda$ -graph system  ${}^X\mathfrak{L}$ . The label set of  ${}^X\mathfrak{L}$  is  $\Sigma$  and its vertex set is

$$V(X) = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l(X)$$

where  $V_0(X)$  contains the singleton set that contains the empty word, and where

$$V_l(X) = \{\Gamma_l^+(x^-) \mid x^- \in X_{(-\infty, 0]}\}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

All edges of  ${}^X\mathfrak{L}$  leave a vertex in  $\cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} V_l(X)$ , and a vertex  $v \in \cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} V_l(X)$  has an outgoing edge that carries the label  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  if and only if  $v$  contains a word that begins with  $\sigma$  and the target vertex of this outgoing edge is equal to  $\{a \in \Gamma_{[1, l)}^+ \mid \sigma a \in v\}, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . The mapping

$$\iota : \cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} V_l(X) \longrightarrow \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l(X)$$

deletes last symbols.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  be a standard one-counter shift with a characteristic pair  $((\alpha_-)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\alpha_+)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$  of fixed points. Then*

- (i)  $V(X)$  has a proper hereditary subset if and only if  $X$  has no reset.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{L}^X$  has a proper hereditary subset.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\Omega_{reset}^+(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $I, D_-, M_-, M_+, D_+$  be parameters for  $X$ , where  $I$  is chosen such that  $scM(\{c \in \mathcal{L}(X) \mid \ell(c) \leq I\})$  is aperiodic and topologically transitive subshift of finite type with alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that for  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma_{synchro}(X)$  there exists for  $q > Q$  an admissible concatenation of words in  $\{c \in \mathcal{L}(X) \mid \ell(c) \leq I\}$  that begins with  $\sigma$  and that can be followed by  $\sigma'$ . With  $D > I$  such that also

$$D > \ell(c_X) + M_- + M_+ + \ell(d^-) + D_-(\sigma_- d^-), \quad \sigma_- \in \Sigma_-, d^- \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_-, \alpha_-),$$

one has for  $x^- \in X_{(-\infty, 0]}$ , that  $\Gamma_D^+(x^-)$  contains a synchronizing symbol. Let  $x^- \in X_{(-\infty, 0]}, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . One can choose a word  $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  of length less than  $l + D$  such that

$$\Gamma_l^+(x^-) = \Gamma_l^+(a)$$

and for  $y^- \in X_{(-\infty, 0]}$  one has that  $\Gamma_{l+2D+Q}^+(y^-)$  contains a word with suffix  $a$ . It follows that  $V(X)$  has no proper hereditary subset.

In case that  $\Omega_{reset}^+(X) = \emptyset$  one has  $\{\alpha_+^l\} \in V_l(X), l \in \mathbb{N}$  and it follows that the set  $\cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} V_l(X) \setminus \{\alpha_+^l\}$  is a proper hereditary subset of  $V(X)$ .

(ii) Here  $\cup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} (V_l(X) \setminus \{\alpha_-^l\})$  is a proper hereditary subset of  $V(X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a standard one-counter shift. Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{x_{\mathcal{L}}}$  is simple if and only if  $X$  has reset.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}^X}$  is not simple.

*Proof.* There exists a bijective correspondence between hereditary subsets of the vertex set  $V$  and ideals in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$  ([18], [20]).  $\square$

For the notion of flow equivalence see [3, 6, 22, 23]. For a subshift  $Y \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and for  $\sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma' \notin \Sigma$ , we say that the subshift  $Y' \subset (\Sigma \cup \{\sigma'\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is obtained from the subshift  $Y$  by replacing in  $Y$   $\sigma$  by  $\sigma\sigma'$  if for every admissible word  $a'$  of  $Y'$  there is an admissible word  $a$  of  $Y$  such that  $a'$  can be obtained by replacing in  $a$  the symbol  $\sigma$  by the word  $\sigma\sigma'$  and then, if necessarily, still removing the first symbol or the last symbol or both. We say then also that  $Y$  is obtained from  $Y'$  by replacing in  $Y'$  the word  $\sigma\sigma'$  by the symbol  $\sigma$ .

Subshifts  $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  are flow equivalent if there exists a chain of subshifts

$$Y[q] \subset \Sigma[q]^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q, \quad Q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad Y[1] = X, \quad Y[Q] = \tilde{X},$$

such that  $Y[q]$  is topologically conjugate to  $Y[q+1]$  or  $Y[q+1]$  is obtained from  $Y[q]$  by replacing in  $Y[q]$  a symbol  $\sigma$  by the word  $\sigma\sigma'$  or  $Y[q]$  is obtained from  $Y[q+1]$  by replacing in  $Y[q+1]$  a symbol  $\sigma$  by the word  $\sigma\sigma'$ . We remark at this point that the definition of a standard one-counter shift can be given a more general formulation in which the characteristic pair of fixed points are replaced by a pair of periodic points. In this way one arrives at a class of subshifts that is closed under flow equivalence.

**Corollary 4.3.** *A standard one-counter shift with reset is not flow equivalent to its inverse.*

*Proof.* The ideal structure of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_{x_{\mathcal{L}}}$  is an invariant of flow equivalence [17]. Apply Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

## 5. K-GROUPS

We will compute the K-groups and the Bowen-Franks groups of the one-counter shift

$$sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}) = sc(\{a_n \alpha_+^m \alpha_-^k \mid 1 \leq n \leq N, m, k \in \mathbb{N}, m \leq k\}),$$

that is of the future  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$  or, equivalently, of the past  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ . The set up that we choose is for the future  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ . Let  $(\mathcal{M}, I) = (\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be the symbolic matrix system of  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$  (the future  $\lambda$ -graph system of  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ ). Let  $(M, I) = (M_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be its nonnegative matrix system. The entries of the nonnegative matrix  $M_{l,l+1}$  count the number of symbols of the corresponding entries of  $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ . We denote by  $m(l)$  the row size of  $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ , so that the both matrices  $M_{l,l+1}$  and  $I_{l,l+1}$  are  $m(l) \times m(l+1)$  matrices. They satisfy the following relations

$$I_{l,l+1} M_{l+1,l+2} = M_{l,l+1} I_{l+1,l+2}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We denote by  $\bar{I}_{l,l+1}^t, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  the homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)} / (M_{l-1,l}^t - I_{l-1,l}^t) \mathbb{Z}^{m(l-1)}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)} / (M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t) \mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}$  induced by  $I_{l,l+1}^t$ . Then as in [15]

$$(5.1) \quad K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) = \varinjlim_l \{ \mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)} / (M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t) \mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}, \bar{I}_{l,l+1}^t \},$$

$$(5.2) \quad K_1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) = \varinjlim_l \{ \text{Ker}(M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}, I_{l,l+1}^t \}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_I$  be the group of the projective limit  $\varinjlim_l \{ \mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}, I_{l,l+1} \}$ . The sequence  $M_{l,l+1} - I_{l,l+1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  acts on it as an endomorphism, denoted by  $M - I$ . The Bowen-Franks groups  $BF^i(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})), i = 0, 1$ , are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} BF^0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &= \mathbb{Z}_I / (M - I) \mathbb{Z}_I, \\ BF^1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &= \text{Ker}(M - I) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}_I. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the symbols  $\alpha_+, \alpha_-$  in the subshifts  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$  now by  $b, c$  respectively. For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the following subsets  $\{F_i^l\}_{i=1, \dots, 2l+2}$  of the right one-sided

shift  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = b, x_2 = \cdots = x_{l+2} = c, \\
&\quad x_{l+3} = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
F_2^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = b, x_2 = \cdots = x_{l+1} = c, \\
&\quad x_{l+2} = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
&\vdots \\
F_{l+1}^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = b, x_2 = c, x_3 = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
F_{l+2}^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
F_{l+3}^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = c, x_2 = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
F_{l+4}^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = x_2 = c, x_3 = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}, \\
&\vdots \\
F_{2l+2}^l &= \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})_{[1,\infty)} \mid x_1 = \cdots = x_l = c, x_{l+1} = a_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq N\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sets  $\{F_i^l\}_{i=1,\dots,2l+2}$  are the  $l$ -past equivalence classes of  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ . Put  $m(l) = 2l + 2$ . Let  $v_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$  be the vertex set  $V_l$  of the canonical  $\lambda$ -graph system  $\mathfrak{L}^{sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})}$  for the subshift  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ . The vertex  $v_i^l$  is considered to be the class  $[F_i^l]$  of  $F_i^l$ . For a symbol  $\gamma$ , if  $\gamma F_j^{l+1}$  is contained in  $F_i^l$ , then a labeled edge labeled  $\gamma$  from the vertex  $v_i^l$  to the vertex  $v_j^{l+1}$  is defined in the  $\lambda$ -graph system. Hence there are labeled edges labeled  $a_n, n = 1, \dots, N$  from  $v_{l+2}^l$  to  $v_j^{l+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l+2$ . There are labeled edges labeled  $b$  from  $v_i^l$  to  $v_{2l+4-i}^{l+1}$  and to  $v_i^{l+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l+1$ . There are labeled edges labeled  $c$  from  $v_i^l$  to  $v_i^{l+1}$  for  $i = l+3, l+4, \dots, 2l+2$ , and from  $v_{2l+2}^l$  to  $v_{2l+3}^{l+1}$  and to  $v_{2l+4}^{l+1}$ .

If  $F_j^{l+1}$  is contained in  $F_i^l$ , the  $\iota$ -map is defined by  $\iota(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l$ . Hence we have

$$\iota(v_j^{l+1}) = \begin{cases} v_1^l & \text{if } j = 1, \\ v_{j-1}^l & \text{if } j = 2, 3, \dots, 2l+3, \\ v_{2l+2}^l & \text{if } j = 2l+4. \end{cases}$$

We will consider the symbolic matrix system  $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$  on the ordered bases  $F_1^l, \dots, F_{m(l)}^l$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m(l+1)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} a_1 + \dots + a_N & \text{if } i = l+2, j = 1, 2, \dots, l+2, \\ b & \text{if } 1 \leq i = j \leq l+1, \\ b & \text{if } i+j = 2l+5, 1 \leq i \leq l+1, \\ c & \text{if } l+3 \leq i = j \leq 2l+2, \\ c & \text{if } i = 2l+2, j = 2l+3, 2l+4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq j = i+1 \leq 2l+3, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 2l+2, j = 2l+4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$M_{l,l+1}^t(i, j) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } j = l+2, i = 1, 2, \dots, l+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i = j \leq l+1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i+j = 2l+5, 1 \leq j \leq l+1, \\ 1 & \text{if } l+3 \leq i = j \leq 2l+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 2l+2, i = 2l+3, 2l+4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$M_{l,l+1}^t(i, j) - I_{l,l+1}^t(i, j) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } j = l+2, i = 1, 2, \dots, l+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j \leq 2l+2, i \neq l+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } i+j = 2l+5, 1 \leq j \leq l+1, \\ -1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j+1 \leq 2l+2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & N & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & N & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & N & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & N & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 & N & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & N & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We see that

**Lemma 5.1.**  $\text{Ker}(M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t) = 0$  for  $2 \leq l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus we have by (5.2),

**Lemma 5.2.**  $K_1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong 0$ .

We will next compute  $K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$ . Set for  $i = 1, \dots, 2l+4$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2l+2$

$$B_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } j = l+2, i = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j \leq 2l+2, i \neq l+2, \\ 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (2l+4, 1), (2l+3, 2), \\ -1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j+1 \leq l+2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is

$$B_{l,l+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & N & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $P_l$  be the  $(2l+2) \times (2l+2)$  matrix defined by setting for  $i, j = 1, \dots, 2l+2$ ,

$$P_l(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } j = 1, i = 2, \dots, l+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We know that

$$(5.3) \quad P_{l+1}(M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t)\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2} = B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}.$$

Denote by  $\bar{P}_{l+1}$  the induced isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/(M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t)\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$ . Let  $J_{l,l+1}$  be the  $(2l+4) \times (2l+2)$  matrix defined by setting for  $i = 1, \dots, 2l+4$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2l+2$ ,

$$J_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j-1, i = 2, \dots, 2l+3, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 2l+4, j = 2l+2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\bar{J}_{l,l+1}$  the induced homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}/B_{l-1,l}\mathbb{Z}^{2l}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}/(M_{l-1,l}^t - I_{l-1,l}^t)\mathbb{Z}^{2l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{I}_{l,l+1}^t} & \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/(M_{l,l+1}^t - I_{l,l+1}^t)\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2} \\ \bar{P}_l \downarrow & & \bar{P}_{l+1} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}/B_{l-1,l}\mathbb{Z}^{2l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{J}_{l,l+1}} & \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

For an integer  $n$ , we denote by  $q(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$  the quotient of  $n$  by  $N$  and by  $r(n) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$  its residue such as  $n = q(n)N + r(n)$ . The following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Fix  $l = 2, 3, \dots$ . For  $z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_{2l+4} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}$ , put inductively*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= z_{2l+4}, \\ x_2 &= z_{2l+3}, \\ x_k &= z_k \quad \text{for } k = l+3, l+4, \dots, 2l+2, \\ x_{l+2} &= q(z_1), \\ x_{l+1} &= -z_{l+2}, \\ x_l &= -z_{l+1} - z_{l+2}, \\ x_{l-k} &= -z_{l-k+1} - z_{l-k+2} - \dots - z_{l+2}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, l-3. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} r_{l,l+1}(z) &= r(z_1) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}, \\ \varphi_{l,l+1}(z) &= z_2 - z_{2l+3} + z_{2l+4}, \\ \psi_{l,l+1}(z) &= z_3 + z_4 + z_5 + \dots + z_{l+2} + z_{2l+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_{2l+4} \end{bmatrix} = B_{l,l+1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{2l+2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} r_{l,l+1}(z) \\ \varphi_{l,l+1}(z) \\ \psi_{l,l+1}(z) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following lemma is also direct.

**Lemma 5.5.** *For  $z = [z_i]_{i=1}^{2l+4} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}$ , one has*

$$r_{l,l+1}(z) = 0 \text{ in } \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{l,l+1}(z) = \psi_{l,l+1}(z) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}$$

if and only if there exists  $y = [y_i]_{i=1}^{2l+2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$  such that  $z = B_{l,l+1}(y)$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *The map  $\xi_{l+1} : [z_i]_{i=1}^{2l+4} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4} \longrightarrow (r_{l,l+1}(z), \varphi_{l,l+1}(z), \psi_{l,l+1}(z)) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  induces an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the surjectivity of  $\xi_{l+1}$ . For  $(g, m, k) \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , put  $z = [g, m, k, 0, \dots, 0]^t \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}$ . One then sees that

$$r_{l,l+1}(z) = g, \quad \varphi_{l,l+1}(z) = m, \quad \psi_{l,l+1}(z) = k.$$

□

We denote by  $\bar{\xi}_{l+1}$  the above isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  induced by  $\xi_{l+1}$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}/B_{l-1,l}\mathbb{Z}^{2l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{J}_{l,l+1}} & \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2} \\ \bar{\xi}_l \downarrow & & \bar{\xi}_{l+1} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* For  $z = [z_i]_{i=1}^{2l+2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}$ , it is direct to see that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{l,l+1}(J_{l,l+1}(z)) &= r_{l-1,l}(z), & \varphi_{l,l+1}(J_{l,l+1}(z)) &= 0, \\ \psi_{l,l+1}(J_{l,l+1}(z)) &= \varphi_{l-1,l}(z) + \psi_{l-1,l}(z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore we conclude

**Lemma 5.8.**  $K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(sc(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))) &= \varinjlim \{ \mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}, \bar{T}_{l,l+1}^t \} \\ &= \varinjlim \{ \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, L \} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As the torsion free part of  $K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$  is not isomorphic to  $K_1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$ , these types of K-groups cannot appear in those of sofic systems.

We next compute the Bowen-Franks groups  $BF^0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$  and  $BF^1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$ . As in [15, Theorem 9.6], one sees the following formulae of short exact sequences of the universal coefficient type theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(K_i(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})), \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow BF^i(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_{i+1}(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequences split unnaturally.

**Lemma 5.9.**  $BF^0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \quad BF^1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ .



*Proof.* Since for a finitely generated abelian group  $G$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Z})$  is the torsion free part of  $G$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(G, \mathbb{Z})$  is the torsion part of  $G$ , one gets the desired assertions by Lemma 5.8.  $\square$

As the torsion free part of  $BF^0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$  is not isomorphic to  $BF^1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev}))$ , these types of Bowen-Franks groups cannot appear in those of sofic systems. We restate Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.9 as

**Theorem 5.10.**

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &\cong 0, \\ BF^0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, & BF^1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will next compute the K-groups for  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ . The computation is completely similar to the above one as in the following way. We can take the  $l$ -past equivalence classes of  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$  as the similar ones to the  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ . Let  $(\mathcal{M}, I) = (\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be the symbolic matrix system for  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$ . We see that

$$\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} a_1 + \cdots + a_N & \text{if } i = j = l + 2, \\ b & \text{if } 1 \leq i = j \leq l + 1, \\ b & \text{if } i + j = 2l + 5, 1 \leq i \leq l + 1, \\ c & \text{if } l + 3 \leq i = j \leq 2l + 2, \\ c & \text{if } i = 2l + 2, j = 2l + 3, 2l + 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Different from the symbolic matrix system for  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$  is only the  $l + 2$ -th row in  $\mathcal{M}_{l,l+1}$ . The matrix  $I_{l,l+1}$  is the same as the one for  $sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})$ . Let  $(M_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1})_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  be its nonnegative matrix system. Hence we have

$$M_{l,l+1}^t(i, j) - I_{l,l+1}^t(i, j) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } i = j = l + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j \leq 2l + 2, i \neq l + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } i + j = 2l + 5, 1 \leq j \leq l + 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j + 1 \leq 2l + 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By considering the kernels and cokernels of the following matrices  $B_{l,l+1}, l \in \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$B_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } i = j = l + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i = j \leq 2l + 2, i \neq l + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (2l + 4, 1), (2l + 3, 2), \\ -1 & \text{if } i = 2, j = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$B_{l,l+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots\dots\dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & . & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & & & 0 & 0 & N & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots & . & . & . & \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots & & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots\dots\dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots & & & & & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots\dots\dots & & & & & & & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$K_1(sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})) \cong 0$$
$$\begin{aligned} K_0(sc(C_{reset}^{(N)})) &= \varinjlim \{\mathbb{Z}^{2l+4}/B_{l,l+1}\mathbb{Z}^{2l+2}, \overline{I}^t_{l,l+1}\} \\ &= \varinjlim \{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, L\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem 5.11.

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})) &\cong K_0(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\ K_1(sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})) &\cong K_1(sc((\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})^{rev})) \cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.12.** *For  $N, N' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \neq N'$ ,  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N)})$  and  $sc(\mathcal{C}_{reset}^{(N')})$  are not flow equivalent to each other.*

*Proof.* K-groups are invariants of flow equivalence ([16]).

## REFERENCES

1. F. BLANCHARD, *Systèmes dynamiques topologiques associés à des automates récurrents*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. **58**(1981), pp. 549–564.
2. F. BLANCHARD AND G. HANSEL, *Systèmes codés*, Theor. Computer Sci. **44** (1986) pp. 17–49.
3. R. BOWEN AND J. FRANKS, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, Ann. Math. **106** (1977) pp. 73–92.
4. P. C. FISCHER, *Turing machines with restricted memory access*, Information and Control **9**(1966), pp.364–379.
5. P. C. FISCHER, A. R. MEYER, AND A. L. ROSENBERG, *Counter machines and counter languages*, Math. Systems Theory **2**(1968), pp. 265–283.
6. J. FRANKS, *Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4** (1984) pp. 53–66.
7. J. E. HOPCROFT AND J. D. ULLMAN, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*. Addison-Wesley, Reading (2001).

8. G. KELLER, *Markov extensions, zeta functions, and Fredholm theory for piecewise, invertible dynamical systems*, Tans. Amer. Math. Soc. **314**(1989), pp. 433–497.
9. G. KELLER, *Circular codes, loop counting, and zeta-functions*, J. Combinatorial Theory **56**(1991), pp. 75–83.
10. B. P. KITCHENS, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1998).
11. W. KRIEGER AND K. MATSUMOTO, *Shannon graphs, subshifts and lambda-graph systems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **54**(2002), pp. 877–899.
12. W. KRIEGER AND K. MATSUMOTO, *in preparation*.
13. D. LIND AND B. MARCUS, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
14. K. MATSUMOTO, *A simple  $C^*$ -algebra arising from a certain subshift*, J. Operator Theory **42**(1999), pp. 351–370.
15. K. MATSUMOTO, *Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999), pp. 285–340.
16. K. MATSUMOTO, *Bowen-Franks groups as an invariant for flow equivalence of subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **21**(2001), pp. 1831–1842.
17. K. MATSUMOTO, *Bowen-Franks groups for subshifts and Ext-groups for  $C^*$ -algebras*, K-Theory **23**(2001), pp. 67–104.
18. K. MATSUMOTO,  *$C^*$ -algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Doc. Math. **7**(2002), pp. 1–30.
19. K. MATSUMOTO, *Construction and pure infiniteness of the  $C^*$ -algebras associated with  $\lambda$ -graph systems*, Math. Scand. **97**(2005), pp. 73–89.
20. K. MATSUMOTO,  *$C^*$ -algebras associated with presentations of subshifts II, -ideal structures and lambda-graph subsystems-*, J. Australian Mathematical Society **81**(2006), pp. 369–385.
21. K. MATSUMOTO, *A class of simple  $C^*$ -algebras arising from certain nonsofic subshifts*, preprint.
22. W. PARRY AND D. SULLIVAN, *A topological invariant for flows on one-dimensional spaces*, Topology **14** (1975) pp. 297–299.
23. J. THAMSBORG, *Flow equivalence between substitutional dynamical systems*, Master's Thesis, Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, August 2006.

INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD  
294, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `krieger@math.uni-heidelberg.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, YOKOHAMA CITY UNIVERSITY, 22-2 SETO, KANAZAWA-  
KU, YOKOHAMA, 236-0027 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `kengo@yokohama-cu.ac.jp`